

Remarks

1. In claims 1-4, 6-7, 9, 12 and 14-20, the limitation language "the said" has been corrected with definite limitation term either "said" or "the". The erroneous capital letter in "Said" due to word process' error has been corrected as well.
2. In claims 14-15 and 17-20, the objections regarding a multiple dependent claim have been corrected to have only single dependent claim.
3. 35 U.S.C. 112 noted.
4. Claims 1-3, 6-16 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Claim 1 line 16-17: "any number to millions" changed to two to millions. Line 11, "not limited to" is removed and the limit of the desired data is clearly defined as including IP address and telephone and their selection from a directory.
With respect to the indefinite word "any" and the corresponding objects, they are revised with definite and specific terms there in claims 8, line 43 ("any number of" with 'said', claim 9, line 2 ("any specified number of" with 'more than one', claim 13, line 3 ("any" with 'a specific' code [such as] {to include}..... and replacing [such] by {said}) and line 4 ("any" with 'the'), claim 15, lines 2-3 ('any of the following' with 'several' and ":" with 'including') and lines 7-8 ("any" with 'a', and "any" with 'said').
Claim 16, line 9-10 ("not limited to" with {including})

Claim 2, line 5 and claim 3, line 7, "said server software program" is replaced with 'a server software program'.

Objection to the term "such as" are revised as follows: claim 2, line 11 (with 'including'), claim 3, line 14 (with 'including'), claim 6, line 7 (with 'in the category of branch-to-branch voice and data communications), claim 8, line 4 (with 'employing' a voice modem {for small group of users}, {a} PBX {for a large group of users} and VOIP gateway {for remote group users}), claim 13, line 3 (see above), claim 15, line 3 (removing "such as desktop" since it should be clear by skilled in the computer screen interface and add 'from an interface of a hand-held telephone), claim 20, line 2 (removing such as a CD since it is clear a number of storage media can be used.)

Regarding "can be", claim 6, lines 3 and 5 ("can be" is replaced with 'is'), same change is made in claim 7, lines 3,6 and 8, claim 8, line 47, claim 9 line 2, claim 10, line 4, claim 12, line 6, claim 13, line 6 and claim 15, line 2)

Regarding the term "as well" in claim 6, lines 4 and 6, they are removed to be clear and consistent with the changes made above. With respect to "as well as" in claim 13, line 2 and claim 16, line 22, they are replaced with 'and'.

In regard to the apostrophe "s", in claim 8, line 28, claim 11, line 12, claim 15, line and claim 18, line 2, they all have been changed to the definite format of 'the ... of ..

Regarding claim 9, the system {in accordance of claim 8} replaces [supporting the method in claim 8] and the sentence is completed with a period removing unnecessary phrase.

In regard to claim 11, line 4, the limitation "said system" means 'said voice communication system' and is clarified as such.

In regard to claim 11, line 15, ", install and any part of the entire procedures" is replaced with 'and install any part of said procedures of establishing said voice communication system'.

Regarding claim 14, line 2 and 4, "said program" is clarified as 'said call agent Program'.

In regard to claim 15, lines 2,6 and 7, "the program" is clarified with 'the call agent program'.

Regarding claim 19, line 2, "A method ...comprises part of ...in claim 8" is replaced with a clarified method claim as 'A method ...comprises of: the essential steps required to facilitate the sale and distribution of e800 directory service.

5. and 6. Claims 1-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) and 7. Claim 6 would be allowable if amended

Whereas Elliott et al (264 printed pages) disclosed system configurations including common components as disclosed in the present invention, the Elliott patent claims methods of making phone calls over existing telecommunication systems and networks.

The inventor accepts examiner's comments to rewrite claim 6 and correspondingly the amended claims are 1-5 and claim 7. Claim 1 being the rewrite of claim 6 with all limitations of the base claim.

8. Claims 8 and 16 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Claims 8 and 16 are amended to overcome the objections. Claims 9-15 and claims 17-20 are amended to be dependent claims.

9. The inventor expresses his appreciation of the Examiner's detailed comments and suggestions.